STATE OF INDIANA))SS:		SUPERIOR COURT 2
COUNTY OF TIPPECANOE	()		AUG 23 2013
CURTIS BEAVER)	Criida Coffee
Plaintiff,)	Clark Superior Court No. 2 Tippecsage Co.
VS)	CAUSE NO. 79D02-1308-PL-00032
FIFTH THIRD BANK)	
Defendant)	

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES PURSUANT TO THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. AND THE INDIANA DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq.

Comes now the Plaintiff, CURTIS BEAVER (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by counsel,
Melissa Wilkes, and alleges that FIFTH THIRD BANK (hereinafter "Fifth Third") violated the
Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. (hereinafter "TCPA") and the
Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1 et seq. (hereinafter "IDCSA"), as
follows:

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is an individual citizen and resident of the State of Indiana, County of Tippecanoe, and City of Lafayette.
- Defendant Fifth Third solicits and transacts business within the State of Indiana,
 County of Tippecanoe, and is headquartered at 111 Lyon N.W., Grand Rapids, MI 49503.
 - 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. Plaintiff has a second mortgage (hereinafter "Account") with Fifth Third.
- 5. Within the last four (4) years, Plaintiff has received numerous collection calls from Fifth Third's agents and/or employees to his cellular telephone number in an attempt to collect upon the Account.

- 6. On June 28, 2013, at approximately 4:43 p.m., Plaintiff told a Fifth Third representative to stop calling his cellular number. Despite such request, Fifth Third continued autodialing Plaintiff's cellular number.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant maintains business records that show all telephone calls placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.
- 8. Plaintiff believes and alleges that during the aforementioned telephone calls, Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system" and/or "prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 9. Plaintiff believes and alleges that during the aforementioned telephone calls,

 Defendant intended to use an "automatic telephone dialing system" and/or "prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 10. Plaintiff believes and alleges that these telephone calls were not made for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 11. Plaintiff believes and alleges that he did not provide "express consent" allowing Defendant to place telephone calls to his cellular telephone number pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 12. The credit transaction that occurred between Plaintiff and Defendant is a "consumer transaction" as defined by 1.C. § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(1).
 - 13. Defendant is a "supplier" as defined by I.C. § 24-5-0.5.2(a)(3).
- 14. Defendant's conduct rose to the level of an "incurable deceptive act" as defined by I.C. § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(8).

COUNT I: NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 and further states as follows:
- 16. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TPCA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 17. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

COUNT II: KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 and further states as follows:
- 19. |Even though Defendant did not have consent to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and Plaintiff instructed Fifth Third to stop calling his cellular number, Fifth Third's agents and/or employees repeatedly continued to use an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to make and/or place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

COUNT III: NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE INDIANA DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq.

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 and further states as follows:
- 23. Each and every violation of the TCPA by Defendant constitutes a deceptive act, in violation of the IDCSA, specifically Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1(a)(19).
- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of IDCSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation of the TCPA plus reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(a).

COUNT IV: WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE INDIANA DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq.

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 and further states as follows:
- 26. Even though Defendant knew that it did not have consent to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and Plaintiff instructed Fifth Third to stop calling his cellular number, Fifth Third's agents and/or employees repeatedly continued to use an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to make and/or place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number anyway, in violation of the TCPA and the IDCSA.
- 27. As a result of Defendant's willful deceptive acts, Plaintiff is entitled to double statutory damages not exceeding \$1,000.00 for each and every violation of IDCSA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment against the Defendant, as follows:

A judgment in the amount of \$500.00 for each and every violation cited herein Α. plus any and all additional violations after the date of filing this Complaint, pursuant to 47

U.S.C. $\S 227(b)(3)(B)$;

B. Treble damages in the amount of \$1,500.00 for each and every violation cited

herein plus any and all additional violations after the date of filing this Complaint, pursuant to 47

U.S.C. \S 227(b)(3);

A judgment in the amount of \$500.00 for each and every violation cited herein C.

plus any and all additional violations after the date of filing this Complaint, pursuant to Ind.

Code § 24-5-0.5-4(a);

D. Double damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for each and every violation cited

herein plus any and all additional violations after the date of filing this Complaint, pursuant to

Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(a)(2);

E. All costs, fees and expenses incurred in this case, including reasonable attorney's

fees, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(a);

F. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be

allowed under the law; and

G. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: __

Respectfully submitted,

PEARLMAN, CHOSNEK & HOPSON, P.C.

Attorney for the Plaintiff

By:

MELISSA WILKES, Atty. No. 28132-29

P.O. Box 708

Lafayette, IN 47902

Telephone: (765) 742-9081

Facsimile: (765) 742-4379

E-mail:

Attention Carrier on Route #C00061-JD-JEM document 1 filed 08/23/13 page 6 of 6 of long accuracy and Scanning accuracy accuracy and Scanning accuracy accuracy and Scanning accuracy ac

ELECTRONIC SCAN REQUIRED

USPS FIRST-CLASS MAIL®

CHRISTA COFFEY TIPPECANOE CO CLERK PO BOX 1885 LAFAYETTE, IN 47902-1665

FIFTH THIRD BANK C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY RA 251 E OHIO ST STE 500 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2184

USPS SIGNATURE TRACKING

First Class Mail®

Electronic Rate Approved #901066154

79D02-1308-PL-32/ Z8292



CHRISTA COFFEY TIPPECANOE CO CLERK PO BOX 1665 LAFAYETTE, IN 47902-1665